



# Tertiary Amine-Catalyzed (4 + 2) Annulations of $\delta$ -Acetoxy Allenoates: Synthesis of Multisubstituted 4*H*-Pyran and 4*H*-Chromene

Yiting Gu, Falin Li, Pengfei Hu, Daohua Liao, and Xiaofeng Tong\*

Shanghai Key Laboratory of Functional Materials Chemistry, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, China

# **(5)** Supporting Information

**ABSTRACT:** The DABCO-catalyzed divergent (4 + 2) annulations of  $\delta$ -acetoxy allenoates 1 are reported. The chemical behavior of 1 under DABCO catalyst was found to be substrate dependent. Allenoate 1 with an aromatic group at  $\delta C$  preferentially reacted with salicylaldehyde derivative 2, delivering 4*H*-chromenes 3. On the other hand, allenoates 1 with an alkyl group at  $\delta C$  readily underwent (4 + 2) annulations with oxo diene 4 to afford 4*H*-pyrans 5.



4*H*-Pyrans and 4*H*-chromenes represent important classes of heterocycles that have a wide range of remarkable biological activities and are prevalent in natural products. Several compounds containing these privileged molecular skeletons are associated with interesting biological and pharmacological activities, such as anti-HIV,<sup>1</sup> antiviral,<sup>2</sup> highly anticancer,<sup>3</sup> and anticonvulsant.<sup>4</sup> However, most approaches toward these extensively studied compounds employ the Knoevenagel–Michael process. Therefore, the development of efficient and mild alternatives for the divergent synthesis of these compounds is an active research area.<sup>5</sup>

Over the past decades, significant progress in allenoate chemistry has been made.<sup>6</sup> In particular, the transformations of allenoate under nucleophilic Lewis base catalysis have received considerable interest due to their potential for facile and efficient increasing of molecular complexity.<sup>7,8</sup> In this context, the amine-catalyzed (4 + 2) annulations of simple allenoates have been well developed and found to strongly rely on the key zwitterionic intermediate A2, which serves either as a base to react with salicylal derivatives or as a nucleophile to react with oxo dienes, furnishing (4 + 2) annulations (Scheme 1a).<sup>9</sup> As part of our ongoing project on the Lewis base catalyzed reactions of allenoates,<sup>10</sup> we became interested in  $\delta$ -acetoxy allenaotes 1 (Scheme 1b). We envisioned that, for the case of allenoate 1 under the amine catalysis, the reactivity of carboanion of the zwitterionic intermediate B2 might be reduced due to increased steric hindrance. Alternatively, intermediate B2 would undergo 1,2-elimination of the acetoxy group, leading to the formation of electrophilic intermediate C, which might provide some opportunities for the development of new reaction scenarios (Scheme 1b). It was indeed interesting to find that the DABCO-catalyzed reaction of 1

was substrate dependent. Allenoates 1 with an aromatic group at  $\delta C$  preferentially reacted with salicylaldehyde derivatives 2, delivering 4*H*-chromenes, while the ones with an alkyl group at  $\delta C$  readily underwent (4 + 2) annulations with oxo dienes 4 to afford 4*H*-pyrans (Scheme 1b). Herein, we report our preliminary results.

We initially explored the reaction of allenoate 1a with a phenyl group at  $\delta C$  and 2a in the presence of 20 mol % of DABCO catalyst and 1.2 equiv of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> additive. A (4 + 2) annulation was found to occur smoothly in toluene at room temperature, affording product 3aa in 62% yield. Subsequently, various solvents and base additives were examined (Table S1, Supporting Information), which revealed that the combination of CHCl<sub>3</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was the optimal choice, giving product 3aa in 85% yield.

With the optimal conditions in hand, we then turned our attention to investigate the substrate scope of the (4 + 2) annulations between 1 and 2. The results are summarized in Scheme 2. Various allenaotes 1 with different electron properties of Ar group (e.g., electron-neutral, -rich, or -deficient) reacted well with substrate 2a, affording the corresponding 4H-chromene products 3aa-fa in excellent yields. The reaction of styryl-functionalized allenoate 1g with 2a smoothly occurred, delivering conjugated triene product 3ga in 76% isolated yield. In contrast, the electron properties of phenyl group in substrates 2 strongly affected the reaction performance in term of isolated yield. Substrates 2b and 2c with chloro and bromo substituents *para*-orientated to hydroxyl group gave products 3ab and 3ac in somewhat lower yields.

Received: December 19, 2014 Published: February 18, 2015 Scheme 1. Amine-Catalyzed (4 + 2) Annulations of Allenoates

## (a) previous reports



Scheme 2. Scope of DABCO-Catalyzed (4 + 2) Annulations of 1 and 2



The yields dramatically dropped to ca. 40% for the cases of substrates 2d and 2c with more electron-deficient phenyl groups. However, substrates 2f and 2g with electron-rich phenyl group also gave the corresponding products in relatively lower yields. Although 2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldehyde-derived substrate 2i was workable under these conditions, the yield of product 2ai was only 48%.

It was found that the property of alkene in substrate 2 also played an important role in the reaction. In addition to 2a-i, substrate 2j reacted well with 1f to give product 3fj in 76% yield (eq 1). However, substrates 2k and 2l, which were prepared via



the condensation of salicylaldehyde with 1-phenylbutane-1,3dione and 1,3-diphenylpropane-1,3-dione, respectively, reacted with 1a to afford formal addition–elimination products 6 (eq 2).<sup>11</sup>

On the basis of these observations and the related aminecatalyzed reactions of allenoate,<sup>8,10</sup> a plausible reaction mechanism of the DABCO-catalyzed annulations of 1a and 2is depicted in Scheme 3. First, addition of DABCO to allenoate





1a generates zwitterionic intermediates B1 and B2. The latter undergoes 1,2-elimination of acetate group to form intermediate D. The fact that no deuterium atom was incorporated into  $\gamma$ C implied that the 1,2-elimination process might be a fast step. With the help of a base, addition of 2 to intermediate D yields intermediate E. For the cases of 2a-j, intermediate E undergoes intramolecular Michael addition to form intermediate F (Scheme 3, path a), which is converted to intermediate G via a 1,3-proton-transfer process. Finally, 1,2-elimination of DABCO catalyst leads to product 3. In contrast to 2a-i, compound 2k has a less activated alkene, presumably due to both the steric hindrance and the effect of delocalization imposed by phenyl group. Thus, the corresponding intermediate E would not undergo a similar Michael addition, while 1,2-elimination of DABCO alternatively occurs to generate product 6 (Scheme 3, path b).

We were surprised to find that allenoate 1m with a methyl group at  $\delta C$  did not react with 2a under these conditions (Scheme 4a). Although we could not unequivocally elucidate

Scheme 4. Possible Explanation of the Reactivity of 1m



the difference in reactivity between 1a and 1m at this stage, one reasonable explanation is shown in Scheme 4. Compared to intermediate **D**, the methyl-substituted analogue I might be unfavorable to formation via the interaction of 1m with DABCO, likely due to the lack of conjugation effect imposed by aryl substituent. Alternatively, zwitterionic intermediate H1 would be dominant (Scheme 4). Thus, we envisioned that an electrophile would be required to match the reactivity of intermediate H1. To our delight, we finally found that oxo diene 4a was able to trap this zwitterionice intermediate, furnishing a (4 + 2) annulation to afford 4*H*-pyran product **Sma** in 40% yield under the otherwise identical conditions (Scheme 4b).

We were pleased to find that the yield of **5ma** could be improved to 80% when the reaction was conducted in dioxane with the use of  $Cs_2CO_3$  as base (Table 1, entry 1). Under these conditions, a variety of oxo dienes **4a–1** were found to be suitable substrates, and the products **5ma–5ml** were isolated in good yields (Table 1, entries 2–12). Furthermore, both allenoates **1n** and **10** smoothly underwent (4 + 2) annulations

Table 1. Scope of DABCO-Catalyzed (4 + 2) Annulations of 1 and  $4^a$ 

R	Ac CO <sub>2</sub> Et	$\begin{array}{c c} Ar^{1} & CN \\ O & Ar^{2} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 20 \text{ mol } \% \text{ DABCO} \\ 1.2 \text{ equiv } Cs_{2}CO_{3} \\ \text{dioxane, rt, 12 h} \\ R \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Ar_1^1 \\ O \\ CO_2 Et \\ 5 \end{array}$
entry	1 (R)	<b>4</b> (Ar <sup>1</sup> , Ar <sup>2</sup> )	5, yield <sup>b</sup> (%)
1	1m (Me)	4a (C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> , C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> )	<b>5ma</b> , 80
2	1m	<b>4b</b> (4-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> , C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> )	<b>5mb</b> , 81
3	1m	<b>4c</b> (4-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> , 4-FC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )	<b>5mc</b> , 86
4	1m	<b>4d</b> (4-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> , 4-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )	<b>5md</b> , 75
5	1m	<b>4e</b> (4-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> , 4-BrC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )	<b>5me</b> , 85
6	1m	<b>4f</b> (4-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> , 4-NO <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )	<b>5mf</b> , 45
7	1m	<b>4g</b> (4-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> , 2-BrC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )	<b>5 mg</b> , 76
8	1m	<b>4h</b> (4-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> , 4-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )	<b>5mh</b> , 78
9	1m	<b>4i</b> (4-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> , 2-furan)	<b>5 mi</b> , 75
10	1m	<b>4j</b> (4-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> , 4-BrC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )	<b>5mj</b> , 71
11	1m	$4k (4-BrC_6H_4, 4-BrC_6H_4)$	5mk, 71
12	1m	41 (2-furan, 4-Br $C_6H_4$ )	<b>5ml</b> , 70
13	<b>1n</b> (Pr)	<b>4e</b> (4-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> , 4-BrC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )	<b>5ne</b> , 88
14	<b>10</b> (H)	<b>4e</b> (4-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> , 4-BrC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )	<b>50e</b> , 58
15	1a (Ph)	4a (C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> , C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> )	<b>5aa</b> , NR <sup>c</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup>Reaction conditions: 1 (0.24 mmol), 4 (0.2 mmol), DABCO (0.04 mmol),  $Cs_2CO_3$  (0.24 mmol), dioxane (4 mL). <sup>*b*</sup>Isolated yield. <sup>*c*</sup>NR = no reaction.

with 4e, giving products 5ne and 5oe in 88% and 58% yields, respectively (Table 1, entries 13 and 14). Again, no reaction of 1a with 4a was observed (Table 1, entry 15), which is consistent with the possible explanation shown in Scheme 4.

A proposed mechanism of the (4 + 2) annulations of 1m and oxo dienes 4 is depicted in Scheme 5. For zwitterrionic

Scheme 5. Plausible Mechanism of the Reaction of 1m and 4



intermediate H1, the nucleoplilicity of carboanion may be dominant among its reactivity, thus enabling addition with 4 to form intermediate J. Through a 1,5-proton transfer process, J is converted into intermediate I, which is followed by elimination of acetoxy group to deliver intermediate L. With the help of base, the intramolecular addition of enolate results in the formation of intermediate N. At last, L undergoes 1,2elimination to release catalyst and 4*H*-pyran product **5** (Scheme 5).

In summary, we have developed two different types of (4 + 2) annulations of  $\delta$ -acetoxy allenoates 1 in the presence of DABCO catalyst under mild conditions. Allenoates 1 with an aromatic group at  $\delta C$  were favorable toward reaction with salicylaldehyde derivatives 2, delivering 4*H*-chromenes 3, while the compound with an alkyl group at  $\delta C$  readily underwent (4 + 2) annulations with oxo dienes 4 to afford 4*H*-pyrans 5. Although there is no further evidence, we believe that the substrate-dependent reactivity of 1 might stem from different chemical behaviors of the involved zwitterionic intermediates. Efforts are underway to elucidate the mechanistic details and to realize asymmetric variants.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### Supporting Information

Experimental procedures and spectral data for all novel compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

\*E-mail: tongxf@ecust.edu.cn.

## Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by NSFC (No. 21272066 and 21472042), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, the Fok Ying-Tong Education Foundation for Young Teachers in the Higher Education Institutions of China (131011), and NCET (No. 12-0851).

#### **Organic Letters**

# REFERENCES

 (1) (a) Kashman, Y.; Gustafson, K. R.; Fuller, R. W.; Cardellina, J. H.; McMahon, J. B.; Currens, M. J.; Buckheit, R. W.; Hughes, S. H.; Gragg, G. M.; Boyd, M. R. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 2735. (b) Patil, A. D.; Freyer, A. J.; Eggleston, D. S.; Haltiwanger, R. C.; Bean, M. F.; Taylor, P. B.; Caranfa, M. J.; Breen, A. L.; Bartus, H. R.; Johnson, R. K.; Hertzberg, R. P.; Westley, J. W. J. Med. Chem. 1993, 36, 4131.
 (c) Tanabe, A.; Nakashia, H.; Yoshida, O.; Yamamoto, N.; Tenmyo, O.; Oki, T. J. Antibiot. 1988, 41, 1708.

(2) (a) Salni, D.; Sargent, M. V.; Skelton, B. W.; Soediro, I.; Sutisna, M.; White, A. H.; Yulinah, E. Aust. J. Chem. 2002, 55, 229. (b) Perez-Perez, M. J.; Balzarini, J.; Rozenski, J.; De-Clercq, E.; Herdewijn, P. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1995, 5, 1115.

(3) (a) Hammam, A. G.; Fahmy, A. F. M.; Amr, A. E.; Mohamed, A. M. Indian J. Chem. 2003, 42B, 1985. (b) Baraldi, P. G.; Manfredini, S.; Simoni, D.; Tabrizi, M. A.; Balzarini, J.; De-Clercq, E. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 1877. (c) Perrella, F. W.; Chen, S. F.; Behrens, D. L.; Kaltenbach, R. F.; Seitz, S. P. J. Med. Chem. 1994, 37, 2232. (e) Kemnitzer, W.; Jiang, S.; Zhang, H.; Kasibhatla, S.; Crogan-Grundy, C.; Blais, C.; Attardo, G.; Denis, R.; Barriault, N.; Vaillancourt, L.; Charron, S.; Dodd, J.; Lamothe, S.; Gourdeau, H.; Tseng, B.; Drewe, J.; Cai, S. X. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18, 5571. (4) (a) Cingolani, G. M.; Gualtteri, F.; Pigin, M. J. Med. Chem. 1961, 12, 531. (b) Aytemir, M. D.; Calis, U.; Ozalp, M. Arch. Pharm. 2004, 337, 281.

S. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 3102. (b) Marinetti, A.; Voituriez, A. Synlett 2010, 174. (c) Ma, G.-N.; Jiang, J.-J.; Shi, M.; Wei, Y. Chem. Commun. 2009, 5496. (d) Ma, S. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 2829. (e) Yu, S.; Ma, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 3888.

(7) For selected reviews, see: (a) Lu, X.; Zhang, C.; Xu, Z. Acc. Chem. Res. 2001, 34, 535. (b) Methot, J. L.; Roush, W. R. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004, 346, 1035. (c) Lu, X.; Du, Y.; Lu, C. Pure Appl. Chem. 2005, 77, 1985. (d) Nair, V.; Menon, R. S.; Sreekanth, A. R.; Abhilash, N.; Biju, A. T. Acc. Chem. Res. 2006, 39, 520. (e) Ye, L.-W.; Zhou, J.; Tang, Y. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 1140. (f) Denmark, S. E.; Beutner, G. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 1560. (g) Fan, Y. C.; Kwon, O. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 11588.

(8) For selected examples of amine-catalyzed reactions of allenoates, see: (a) Tsuboi, S.; Kuroda, H.; Takatsuka, S.; Fukawa, T.; Sakai, T.; Utaka, M. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 5952. (b) Mbofana, C. T.; Miller, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 3285. (c) Saunders, L. B.; Miller, S. J. ACS Catal. 2011, 1, 1347. (d) Evans, C. A.; Miller, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 12394. (e) Zhao, G.-L.; Shi, M. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 9975. (f) Zhao, G.-L.; Shi, M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 3686. (g) Guan, X.-Y.; Wei, Y.; Shi, M. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 3, 3686. (g) Guan, X.-Y.; Wei, Y.; Shi, M. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 74, 6343. (h) Denis, J.-B.; Masson, G.; Retailleau, P.; Zhu, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 5356. (i) Zhao, Q.-Y.; Huang, L.; Wei, Y.; Shi, M. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 1926. (j) Takizawa, S.; Arteaga, F. A.; Yoshida, Y.; Suzuki, M.; Sasai, H. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 4142.

(9) For selected examples of amine-catalyzed (4 + 2) annulations of allenoates, see: (a) Shi, Y.-L.; Shi, M. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3057.
(b) Chen, X.-Y.; Wen, M.-W.; Ye, S.; Wang, Z.-X. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1138. (c) Zhao, G.-L.; Shi, Y.-L.; Shi, M. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4527.
(d) Pei, C.-K.; Jiang, Y.; Wei, Y.; Shi, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 11328. (e) Pei, C.-K.; Jiang, Y.; Shi, M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 4355. (f) Ashtekar, K. D.; Staples, R. J.; Borhan, B. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 5732. (g) Dai, L.-Z.; Shi, Y.-L.; Zhao, G.-L.; Shi, M. Chem.—Eur. J. 2007, 13, 3701. (h) Wang, F.; Luo, C.; Shen, Y.-Y.; Wang, Z.-D.; Li, X.; Cheng, J.-P. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 338. (i) Wang, X.; Fang, T.; Tong, X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 5361. (j) Zhang, X.-C.; Cao, S.-H.; Wei, Y.; Shi, M. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1142.

(10) (a) Dong, W.; Hu, P.; Hu, J.; Tong, X. Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, 55, 1682. (b) Hu, J.; Dong, W.; Wu, X.-Y.; Tong, X. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5530. (c) Hu, J.; Tian, B.; Wu, X.; Tong, X. Org. Lett. 2012, 14,

5074. (d) Li, C.; Zhang, Q.; Tong, X. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 7828. (e) Zhang, Q.; Yang, L.; Tong, X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 2550. (11) It should be noted that no reaction of **1a** with **2k** was observed without DABCO catalyst. When  $({}^{i}Pr)_{2}NEt$  or DBU was instead used, no reaction was observed. These results implied that DABCO might work as catalyst rather than a base.